

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/996,430	Applicant(s) MURAR ET AL.
	Examiner John T. Haran	Art Unit 1733

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____

- (1) John T. Haran. (3) _____.
 (2) Thomas Saur. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to inform Applicant that claims 5-7, 9-11, and 14-15 were in condition for allowance, and that as explained in the advisory action that claims 12 and 16 are directed to a non-elected invention by original presentation. Examiner indicated that claims 12 and 16 could be cancelled by examiner's amendment in order to issue a notice of allowance. Applicant declined Examiner's suggestion and asked for an action to be mailed..